











Extracted From Tk20: Educational Opportunity Fund 2010-2011 Assessment Plans

Bergen Community College > Administrative & Educational Support (AES) Units > OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT OF STUDENT SERVICES > OFFICE OF THE DEAN OF STUDENT SERVICES > Educational Opportunity Fund > Plans

 To improve the retention rate of EOF students by June 2011	 Not Complete
 Increase the frequency of attendance to professional development workshops/seminars offered both in the campus community as well as through professional organizations.	 Not Complete
 Increase the number of minority males in order to reach and serve a greater number of this population.	 Not Complete
 In and out of county high schools will be visited in conjunction with the college admissions office.	 Not Complete
 Community agencies and Business organizations will be contacted with a view toward their involvement with the EOF Community Advisory Board.	 Not Complete

Plan Overview

Outcome/Goal: To improve the retention rate of of EOF students by June 2011

Assessment Period 2010-2011 Annual Goals

Description

Data Collection

Data Collection Form - Updated 08/01/11 by Sonia Jean Brown

Supporting Documentation: **TK20 retention document 8.11.docx **

****TK20 retention document 8.11.docx ****

Comparison was done for third semester retention for Fall 2008 cohort (returning Fall 2009) and Fall 2009 cohort returning Fall 2010. Retention rate for First Time Full time for Fall 2008 cohort was 98%. For all students the retention rate was 75.85%.

Third semester retention rate for Fall 2009 cohort returning in Fall 2010 was 80%. Retention Rate for all students was 57.46% which was a decrease from the previous year.

Comparison of Retention Statistics for Spring 2010 and Spring 2011.

Retention rate for Spring 2010 was 83.10%, breakdown is as follows:

Enrollment Fall 2009	515
Returned Spring 2010	401
Graduated	27*
Total	428
Retention rate	83.10%

Retention rate for Spring 2011 was 96.64% breakdown is as follows:

Enrollment Fall 2010	507
Returned Spring 2011	467
Graduated	24*
Total	491
Retention Rate	96.64%

***Graduated students are not considered an attrition.**

Measures

1: Compare enrollment for fall 2009 cohort for initial students and renewal students to Fall 2010.

2: Compare enrollment for fall 2010 to spring 2011. There was an increase in the retention for Spring 2011(13.54%) in comparison to spring 2010.

Results Form

This goal was: Met **Partially Met** Not Met

Results

This outcome was: Met **Partially Met** Not Met

Percentage Met: 82% (See Data collection for detailed results.)

Were these results used to make improvements to the program/department? **Yes** No

Analysis Form

Analysis

After reviewing the results, what analyses can be derived?

1. There was a significant decrease in the retention rate for fall 2009 cohort (18%) Similarly for all EOF students enrolled in fall 2009(18.39%)

The program experienced severe understaffing during this period and this severely affected the support which our students received.

2.

3.

4.

Supporting Documentation- No file attached

Recommendation Form

Recommendations

Based on the results and analysis, what recommendations will be made to better achieve the desired outcome?

1. Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

2. Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

3. Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

4. Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

Upload any supporting documents to further elaborate on the recommendations listed above. No file attached

Budget Implications

Based on the ongoing results and analyses, are there budget requests that need to be made in order to achieve this outcome? Yes No

Additional Budget Request

Amount Requested Purpose Amount Received Date

Actions Form

Improvements

Below, list the improvements/action items that are being put in place in order to achieve this outcome/goal.

Were improvements made to achieve this outcome? Yes No

List all improvements made:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Feedback

Outcome/Goal: Increase the frequency of attendance to professional development workshops/seminars offered both in the campus community as well as through professional organizations.

Assessment Period 2010-2011 Annual Goals

Description

Data Collection

Comments:

Supporting Documentation: ****Professional Development Workshops-tk20.docx****

Data Collection Form - Updated 07/05/11 by Sonia Jean Brown

****Professional Development Workshops(EOF)****

Name	2009-2010	2010-2011	%Inc
Sonia Brown	2	3	50%
Gean Chin	0	5	100%
Jill Riviera	5	10	100%
Jeania Adams	4	5	25%
Monica Martinez	9	10	11.11%
Aida Henix	2	1	-50%
TOTAL	22	35	(59.09%) Increase

Measures

1: Compare attendance of staff to Professional development workshops in the academic year 2009/10 to attendance in 2010/11; this will increase by 5%

Results Form

This goal was: **Met** Partially Met Not Met

Results

This outcome was: **Met** Partially Met Not Met

Percentage Met: More than 100%

Were these results used to make improvements to the program/department? Yes No

Analysis Form

Analysis

After reviewing the results, what analyses can be derived?

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Supporting Documentation- No file attached

Recommendation Form

Recommendations

Based on the results and analysis, what recommendations will be made to better achieve the desired outcome?

- 1.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

- 2.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

3.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

4.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

Upload any supporting documents to further elaborate on the recommendations listed above. No file attached

Budget Implications

Based on the ongoing results and analyses, are there budget requests that need to be made in order to achieve this outcome? Yes No

Additional Budget Request

Amount Requested Purpose Amount Received Date

Actions Form

Improvements

Below, list the improvements/action items that are being put in place in order to achieve this outcome/goal.

Were improvements made to achieve this outcome? Yes No

List all improvements made:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

Feedback

Outcome/Goal: Increase the number of minority males in order to reach and serve a greater number of this population.

Assessment Period 2010-2011 Annual Goals

Description

Data Collection

Measures

1: The number of minority males will increase by 5% by spring 2012. Compare the enrollment of minority males in the EOF program in the academic year 2010/11 to the enrollment in the academic year 2011/12

Measures

No advanced measures defined for this goal.

Results Form

This goal was: Met **Partially Met** Not Met

Results

This outcome was: Met **Partially Met** Not Met

Percentage Met: 50%.Comparison is being made between 2010 and 2011. There was no increase over the previous year. The number of black males remained constant for the previous year and the current year. Focus is being placed on recruitment and retention for 2011/12.

Were these results used to make improvements to the program/department? **Yes** No

Analysis Form

Analysis

After reviewing the results, what analyses can be derived?

- 1.
- 2.

3.

4.

Supporting Documentation-No file attached

Recommendation Form

Recommendations

Based on the results and analysis, what recommendations will be made to better achieve the desired outcome?

1.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

2.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

3.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

4.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

Upload any supporting documents to further elaborate on the recommendations listed above. No file attached

Budget Implications

Based on the ongoing results and analyses, are there budget requests that need to be made in order to achieve this outcome? Yes No

Additional Budget Request

Amount Requested Purpose Amount Received Date

Actions Form

Improvements

Below, list the improvements/action items that are being put in place in order to achieve this outcome/goal.

Were improvements made to achieve this outcome? Yes No

List all improvements made:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Feedback

Outcome/Goal: In and out of county high schools will be visited in conjunction with the college admissions office.

Assessment Period 2010-2011 Annual Goals

Description

Data Collection

Data Collection Form - Updated 10/05/10 by Jill McAleer

Description of Data Collected	Date/Time Frame	Results
Compare the number of visits to	spring 2011	

Measures

- 1: 75% of the county high schools will be visited.
- 2: 10% of the high schools outside of the county will be visited

Results Form

This goal was: Met **Partially Met** Not Met

Results

This outcome was: Met **Partially Met** Not Met

Percentage Met: A more efficient method was used to identify the schools which should be targeted for recruitment purposes. The goal of 75% was established, but was not met .The percentage of high schools which were visited was 66.67%.

Were these results used to make improvements to the program/department? **Yes** No

Analysis Form

Analysis

After reviewing the results, what analyses can be derived?

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.

Supporting Documentation-No file attached

Recommendation Form

Recommendations

Based on the results and analysis, what recommendations will be made to better achieve the desired outcome?

- 1.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

2.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

3.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

4.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

Upload any supporting documents to further elaborate on the recommendations listed above. No file attached

Budget Implications

Based on the ongoing results and analyses, are there budget requests that need to be made in order to achieve this outcome? Yes No

Additional Budget Request

Amount Requested Purpose Amount Received Date

Actions Form

Improvements

Below, list the improvements/action items that are being put in place in order to achieve this outcome/goal.

Were improvements made to achieve this outcome? Yes No

List all improvements made:

1.

2.

3.

4.

Feedback

Outcome/Goal: Community agencies and Business organizations will be contacted with a view toward their involvement with the EOF Community Advisory Board.

Assessment Period 2010-2011 Annual Goals

Description

Data Collection

Measures

1: 10% of the community agencies and 5% business organizations will be contacted with a view toward their involvement with EOF Community Advisory Board

Results Form

This goal was: Met Partially Met **Not Met**

Results

This outcome was: Met Partially Met **Not Met**

Percentage Met: Outreach to business organizations needs to be more aggressive and will be a primary focus for 2011/12.

Were these results used to make improvements to the program/department? Yes No

Analysis Form

Analysis

After reviewing the results, what analyses can be derived?

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.

Supporting Documentation-No file attached

Recommendation Form

Recommendations

Based on the results and analysis, what recommendations will be made to better achieve the desired outcome?

1.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

2.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

3.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

4.

Was this recommendation implemented? Yes No

Recommendation was implemented during the following assessment period:

Upload any supporting documents to further elaborate on the recommendations listed above. No file attached

Budget Implications

Based on the ongoing results and analyses, are there budget requests that need to be made in order to achieve this outcome? Yes No

Additional Budget Request

Amount Requested Purpose Amount Received Date

Actions Form

Improvements

Below, list the improvements/action items that are being put in place in order to achieve this outcome/goal.

Were improvements made to achieve this outcome? Yes No

List all improvements made:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Feedback