# Understanding and Using Student Learning Assessment Results

March 4, 2014

This PP is a condensation of that used during the workshop.
Images were blatantly Googled, cut & pasted.

## Workshop Agenda

- Understand your purposes & audiences
- 2. Choose & set benchmarks or standards
- 3. Share assessment results
- 4. Use assessment results to improve
- 5. Consider use of external instruments

# Understand Your Purposes & Audiences

## Why Are You Assessing?

- Evaluate and give feedback to individual students.
- 2. Improve what we're doing.
  - Our curricula
  - Our teaching
  - Our support programs and infrastructure

## Why Are You Assessing?

- Evaluate and give feedback to individual students.
- 2. Improve what we're doing.
- 3. Make sure quality isn't slipping.
- 4. Tell the story of our success to key audiences (accountability).

# In addition to why ...

- What are you assessing?
- How are you assessing?
- Where to assess?
- When to assess?
- How much to assess?



# Understand Your Purposes & Audiences

- Who are your campus audiences?
  - Students, faculty within program, faculty from affiliated programs, institutional leaders, administrators & staff in support programs, etc.
- Who are your public audiences?
- What decisions do they make?

# Understand Your Purposes & Audiences

- Who are your campus audiences?
- Who are your public audiences?
  - Prospective students & families, employers, government officials, accrediting bodies, etc.
- What **decisions** do they make?
  - What do they need to know to make informed decisions related to your program?

## Considering Audiences Activity





# Choose & Set Benchmarks or Standards

# Choosing & Setting Benchmarks or Standards

- Choose the type of benchmark or standard you will be assessing
- Set performance targets
  - How good is good enough?
  - How many students should do well?
  - Are we expecting to see a Bell Curve in our results?

# What are we comparing the results of the assessment to?

- Benchmark
- Standard Who are we comparing our results to?
- Target
- Frame of reference
- Criterion



#### **Standards - Local**

✓ **Question**: Are our students meeting our standards?

✓ **Challenge**: Establishing sound performance standards, which must be externally informed.

#### **Standards - External**

✓ Question: Are our students meeting external standards?

✓ Challenge: Do the standards match what we think is important?

✓ Aspiration: How do our students compare to peers?

#### **Benchmarks - Peers**



- ✓ **Question**: How do our students compare to peers?
- ✓ Challenge: Identifying appropriate peers and collecting the information needed.
- ✓ Challenge: Half of all peers will be below average if we follow statistics.

#### Benchmarks - Value-added

- **✓ Question**: Are our students improving?
- **✓ Challenge**: Is growth due to us?
- ✓ Challenge: Imprecise assessments mask growth.
- **✓ Challenge**: Is this question relevant?

#### **Benchmarks – Historical Trends**

✓ **Question**: Are our teaching and curricula improving?

- **✓ Challenge**: Using the same assessment.
- ✓ Challenge: Changes in student curricula over time.



# Strengths and Weaknesses of Benchmarks

- ✓ **Question:** What are our students' relative strengths and areas for improvement?
- ✓ Challenge: Getting the "sub-scores" that are truly comparable.

### **Setting Benchmarks**

- Have others set standards?
  - Disciplinary associations
  - Online searches
  - Colleagues in peer programs and colleges
- Who might you involve in the discussion?
  - •Employers, students, faculty in your program, faculty in more advanced programs (4 yr institutions).



# Share Assessment Results

## Strategies for Sharing Results

- Keep results short 25 words or less
- Simple round numbers, and no jargon
- Tally the results
- Present results from highest to lowest

## Present Results Visually

- Rounded numbers
- Bulleted Lists
- Charts
- Graphs
- Powerpoint presentations
- Minimal Text



### Results: Focus on Big News

- Present a summary.
- Provide on request:
  - Research approach .
  - •Background information/literature review.
  - Detailed results.
  - •Reliability and validity measures (if taken).